

**USDI, Bureau of Land Management
Andrews Resource Area, Burns District
28910 Hwy 20 West
Hines, Oregon 97738**

**Finding of No Significant Impact
for the
Lavoy Tables Allotment Management Plan
Environmental Assessment
EA-OR-026-02-025**

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Andrews Resource Area has analyzed the proposal and its alternatives to renew and modify the existing Lavoy Tables Allotment Management Plan. It has been determined, through the Lavoy Tables Evaluation, that the Lavoy Tables AMP with the current grazing system does achieve the multiple use objectives and does comply with BLM grazing regulations and policies. The need to designate the Highway Pasture, as a trailing pasture would reduce safety concerns along Highway 205 north of Frenchglen. The adjustment of the AMP to reflect Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) estimated forage requirements would help to maintain wildlife habitat.

Based on the analysis of potential environmental impacts contained in the EA and all other available information, I have determined that the proposal and alternatives analyzed do not constitute a major Federal action the would adversely impact the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an EIS is unnecessary and will not be prepared. This determination is based on the following factors:

1. Beneficial, adverse, direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts discussed in the EA have been disclosed. Analysis indicated no significant impacts on society as a whole, the affected region, the affected interests, or the locality. The physical and biological effects are limited to the Burns District, Three Rivers Resource Area and adjacent land.
2. Public health and safety would not be adversely impacted. There are no known or anticipated concerns with project waste or hazardous materials.
3. There would be no adverse impacts to regional or local air quality, prime or unique farmlands, known paleontological resources on public land within the area wetlands, floodplains, and areas with unique characteristics, ecologically critical areas or designated Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Wilderness Study Areas. Floodplains, wetlands, riparian habitat, water quality. There would be no adverse impacts from invasive, non-native species.

4. There are no highly controversial effects on the environment.
5. There are no effects that are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risk. Sufficient information on risk is available based on information in the EA and other past action of a similar nature.
6. This alternative does not set a precedent for other projects that may be implemented in the future to meet the goals and objectives of adopted Federal, State, or local natural resource-related plans, policies, or programs.
7. No cumulative impacts related to other actions that would have a significant adverse impact were identified or are anticipated.
8. Based on previous and ongoing cultural resource surveys, and through mitigation by avoidance, no adverse impacts to cultural resources were identified or anticipated. There are no known American Indian religious concerns or persons or groups who might be disproportionately and adversely affected as anticipated by the Environmental Justice policy.
9. No adverse impacts to any threatened or endangered species or their habitat, that was determined to be critical under the Endangered Species Act, were identified.
10. This proposed action is in compliance with relevant Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and requirements for the protection of the environment.

Ronald H. Wiley
Acting Andrew Resource Area
Field Manager

Date